

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/965,806	10/01/2001	Darrell T. McKenzie	DANA-138	3402
	23599	7590 02/27/2003			
	MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			CECIL, TERRY K	
	ARLINGTON, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1723	6
				DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/965,806	MCKENZIE, DARRELL T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{22J}$	anuary 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>22 January 2003</u> is: a) $\boxtimes$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/965,806

Art Unit: 1723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

Applicant's proposed drawing correction filed 1-22-2003 is approved and obviates the drawing objection of the prior office action.

# Specification

Applicant's abstract amendment filed 1-22-2003 obviates the specification objection of the prior office action.

## Claim Objections

Applicant's amendment to claim 1 filed 1-22-2003 obviates the specification objection of the prior office action.

### Double Patenting

Applicant's terminal disclaimer filed 1-22-2003 is approved and obviates the double patenting rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102

See the prior office action of Paper No. 3. The 102 rejection of the prior office action has not changed.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,806 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1-22-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

- The applicant has argued (page 4) that Hultgren does not teach a unitary body made of a single piece. However, it is pointed out that such a limitation has not been included in the claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- Applicant states (page 5) that "patent law obtains that there is a difference between the concepts of "unitary" and integral" but has not recited such a law nor referred to any case decisions. It is reiterated that the valve of Hultgren is considered to be "unitary" since his valve is described as being "integral" or as a "single unit" (see col. 3, lines 45-46). Applicant has not defined "unitary" otherwise.
- In response to applicant's argument (page 5) that Hultgren is concerned with a different problem than that of applicant's, it is pointed out that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). As shown in the figures of Hultgren, the valve is biased shut and would therefore be capable of performing the function of preventing pre-filling of the oil filter, as argued by the applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,806

Art Unit: 1723

• As for applicant's arguments concerning Turman (page 6), it is pointed out that the Examiner cited Turman merely to show that the idea of forming a valve as a unitary *single piece* of rubber (although not claimed) is already known in the art.

#### Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/965,806

Art Unit: 1723

3. Contact Information:

Examiner Mr. Terry K. Cecil can be reached at (703)305-0079 for any inquiries concerning

this communication or earlier communications from the examiner. Note that the examiner is

on the increased flextime schedule but can normally be found in the office during the hours

of 8:00a to 4:30p, on at least four days during the week M-F.

The group receptionist can be reached at (703)308-0661 for inquiries of a general nature or

those relating to the status of this or proceeding applications.

Wanda Walker, the examiner's supervisor, can be reached at (703)308-0457 if attempts to

reach the examiner are unsuccessful.

Fax numbers for this art unit are as follows:

i. (703)872-9310 for official faxes (i.e. faxes to be entered as part of the file history) that

are not after-final; and

ii. (703)872-9311 if after-final.

February 26, 2003

Page 5